

Here you should summarise the aspects of the *application* you agree and/or disagree with and say why. Outline what information, local knowledge or evidence you have which supports this. Please aim to limit this section to no more than 500 words (please use extra paper if needed).

Date 4/9/18

I TOTALLY DISAGREE WITH RIVEROAKS PROPOSAL OF OPENING MANSTON AIRPORT AS A CARGO HUB. MY MAIN CONCERN IS WITH DAY AND NIGHT FLIGHTS WHEN ITS BEEN SAID THAT BETWEEN 17,000 AND 80,000 FLIGHTS COULD LAND AND TAKEOFF FROM MANSTON. THIS WILL CAUSE "SEVERE" SLEEP DEPRIVATION IN MOST PEOPLE ALONG WITH HEALTH ISSUES PARTICULARLY IN THOSE WHO HAVE LUNG PROBLEMS AND I WOULD NOT BE SURPRISE IF THERE IS A SPIKE IN HEART ATTACKS TOO - BEING WOKEN UP AT 3AM BY A HUGE AIRCRAFT IS NOT PLEASANT. THE AIR QUALITY WILL BE POOR TOO AS A RESULT OF BREATHING IN WHATEVER IS OMITTED FROM THESE DIRTY PLANES. DAMAGE TO HOMES IS ALSO A POSSIBILITY - ONE HOUSE WAS ALREADY DAMAGED A FEW YEARS AGO. NETHERCOURT, ST. LAWRENCE AND SOUTHWOODS ARE DIRECTLY UNDER THE FLIGHT PATH - IT SEEMS VERY UNFAIR THAT WE SHOULD HAVE TO PUT UP AIRCRAFT WITH A NOISE RATING OF BETWEEN 98-103db. THE AIRPORT IS TOO CLOSE TO THE RESIDENTIAL AREAS WITH AN INSUFFICIENT ROAD NETWORK WHICH WILL ONLY GET WORSE WITH FUEL TANKERS GOING TO ~~THE~~ THE AIRPORT ON A REGULAR BASIS. MANSTON AIRPORT WILL NOT SUCCEED AS A PASSENGER OR CARGO AIRPORT. DIFFERENT OWNERS HAVE TRIED AND FAILED LOSING HUGE AMOUNTS OF MONEY WHICH HAS BEEN EXTENSIVELY REPORTED. IT IS NOT VIABLE AS AN AIRPORT!

Please check that you have completed all relevant sections, otherwise you may not be able to take part in the later stages of the examination.

Please sign your form, print your name and give today's date, below:

Signature 

The Planning Act 2008 process is primarily a written *examination* process and if you are registered as an *interested party* you will have an opportunity, later in the *examination* process, to provide a more detailed *written representation*.

You cannot reserve a right to make a *representation* later without providing a summary of the points you intend to submit at this stage.

Your *representation* should take the form of a summary of what you agree with and/or disagree with in the *application*, and any impacts you think it would have.

Please note that your *representation* **must not** include material that is,

- vexatious or frivolous
- about compensation for compulsory acquisition of land or of any *interest* in or right over land

MAN-138

- Noisy Nightflights
- Poor Air Quality
- Pollution
- Increase in health issues
- Sleep deprived
- Poor Quality of life
- Property Damage + Poor re-sale Value of Property.
- Who would want to buy property in Tharst
- With ^{ALL THIS GUILT} ~~ALL THIS GUILT~~
- Road congestion and safety

about the merits of policy set out in a national policy statement.

The information you include in the *representation* section of this form will be used by the *Examining Authority* to carry out its initial assessment of the principle issues and to decide the best way to examine the *application*.